

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,629	05/13/2001	Mary Lou Floyd	5777	
7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Abelman Frayne & Schwab			FADOK, MARK A	
150 East 42nd St			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017-5612			3625	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/681,629	FLOYD, MARY LOU		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mark Fadok	3625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 3-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
,				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)		

The examiner is in receipt of applicant's response to office action mailed 3/16/2005, which was received 9/16/2005. Acknowledgement is made to the amendment to claims 1,9,10,the addition of drawings FIGs 1 and 2, and the submittal of a marked up copy of the original specification. The examiner has carefully considered the amendment and finds the clarification to the specification and drawings to be acceptable and not containing new matter. As for the rejection on the merits the applicant's arguments and amendments were convincing, however after further searching a new grounds of rejection follows:

Examiner's Note

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 3-5,7-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perkowski (2005/0251458).

In regards to claim 3, Perkowski discloses a method for searching a computer network for a product associated with a brand name (FIG 4A1), the method comprising the steps of

inputting a query message into an online device accessing a portal to a predetermined website, wherein the query message approximates the brand name associated with the product (FIG 4A1, Zantac approximates Zantac 75);

searching only a plurality of brand names for a first match of the query message with a matching brand name (page 4, para 0041); and

outputting a first uniform resource locator (URL) address associated with the matching brand name and providing information about the product (page 4, para 0041).

In regards to claim 4, Perkowski teaches wherein the brand name is selected from the group consisting of a company name of a desired company and a product line name of a specific product line (page 4, para 0041).

In regards to claim 5, Perkowski teaches wherein the online device is computer (FIG 2).

In regards to claim 7, Perkowski teaches accessing an Internet-based website using the first URL address associated with the matching brand name (page 4, para 0041).

In regards to claim 8, Perkowski teaches wherein the Internet-based website is distinct from the predetermined website associated with the portal (FIG 2 and 2A).

In regards to claim 9, Perkowski teaches wherein the step of accessing an Internet-based website using the first URL address includes the step of accessing an information webpage associated with the matching brand name (FIG 4A1).

In regards to claim 10, Perkowski teaches wherein the <u>information webpage</u> displays information associated with the matching brand name, with the information

Art Unit: 3625

being selected from the group consisting of special promotions, store locations, store hours, phone numbers, and current sales (FIG 4A2).

In regards to claims 11-13 and 15-17, these are considered to be parallel claims to those above and are rejected for the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkowski in view of Official Notice.

In regards to claims 6,14 and 18, Perkowski teaches the use of an interactive screen (FIG 3A2), but does not specifically mention that the online device is a television. The examiner takes official notice that the use of interactive televisions to provide services such as those taught by Perkowski were old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include in Perkowski the use of an interactive television, because this would include another means for providing access to the information, thus increasing revenue from people who use interactive televisions for online shopping and information gathering.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,629 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-18 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Mark Fadok whose telephone number is (571) 272-

6755. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (571) 272-7159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)

272-3600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Art Unit: 3625

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(571) 273-6755 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Mark Fadok

Primary Examiner